Finite state estimation and control of a multiinput CSTR benchmark

Enso IKONEN, István SELEK, Kaddour NAJIM Systems Engineering Faculty of Technology University of Oulu, Finland

Outline:

- MDP and state estimation
- MDP & state estimation
- FADP (finite ADP)
- VDV benchmark simulations
- System set-up
- Simulations

IFAC 2017 World Congress, Toulouse, France The 20th World Congress of the International Federation of Automatic Control, 9-14 July 2017 - Conclusions & Future works

Finite state and action optimal control design I

- Markov decision processes (MDP)

- Discrete time finite state and action Markov chains
- Dynamic programming
- Discretization
 - irregular grid $s = \lfloor \arg\min_{s \in S} \|\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}_s^c\|_{\mathbf{W}} \rfloor$
- modeling (simulation + counting => P's & L)
 - $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} \left(k+1 \right) &= f \left(\mathbf{x} \left(k \right), \mathbf{u} \left(k \right), \mathbf{w} \left(k \right) \right) & \mathbf{q} \left(k+1 \right) = \mathbf{q} \left(k \right) \mathbf{P}^{a(k)} \\ \mathbf{y} \left(k \right) &= h \left(\mathbf{x} \left(k \right), \mathbf{v} \left(k \right) \right) & \mathbf{o} \left(k \right) = \mathbf{q} \left(k \right) \mathbf{L} \left(k \right), \end{aligned}$
- Optimal control
 - minimize sum of immediate costs in future horizon $J = \sum \lambda^{k'} c\left(\mathbf{x} \left(k + k'\right), \mathbf{u} \left(k + k'\right)\right)$

$$k'=0,1,...,K$$

- value iteration => control table
- Cell filter
- Bayesian state estimation

$$\mathbf{q}(k|k-1) = \mathbf{q}(k-1|k-1)\mathbf{P}^{a(k-1)}$$
$$\mathbf{q}(k|k) \sim \mathbf{l}^{\top}_{m(k)} \cdot \mathbf{q}(k|k-1)$$

- ML estimate for control

2

University of Oulu

Finite state and action optimal control design II

FADP

ሮማ

3

- Finite Approximate Dynamic Programming
- iterative re-discretization of closed-loop data
- hierarchical k-means clustering



Ikonen et al. (2016) Computers & Chemical Engineering 93

University of Oulu

Process control using finite Markov chains with iterative clustering

– FADP

- Finite approximate dynamic programming
- An approach to tackle the curse of dimensionality problem

yet retaining the benefits of the finite state MDP in control and estimator design

- iterative re-discretization based on clustering of closed-loop data.
- hierarchical k-means clustering



Computers and Chemical Engineer

Process control using finite Markov chains with iterative clustering

2



FADP steps

University of Oulu Enso.Ikonen@oulu.fi • **Clustering** of the data in X_{sim} is conducted using hierarchical kmeans to find N new cluster centroids $Z^{(i+1)}$. Clustering at first few iterations may not provide a proper description of the space occupied by the closed-loop system, and to avoid unnecessary simulations the number of model evaluations *E* and clusters *N* can be gradually increased as a function of iterations: $E_{i+1} = E_i + \Delta E$, $N_{i+1} = N_i + \Delta N$.



Process control using finite Markov chains with iterative clustering
sos loonen ', István Selek, Kaddour Najim
empenence liberar doch MB et Bill Select Aled





Process control using finite Markov chains with iterative clustering
Enso lkonen', István Selek, Kaddour Najim
Spann Daiveni (John / D. Kor R. 1880). Triad

FADP clustering with hierarchical kmeans

```
Algorithm 1. The hierarchical k-means algorithm.
function [Z,D] = hkmeans(X,W,k,H,h,Z,D)
if nargin<4,
    N = k; H=ceil(log10(N)); k = ceil(N(1/H)); h=1; Z=[]; D=[];
end
if h<H
    [~,~,W]=k_means(X,W,k);
    for c=1:k
        x = X(w==c,:);
        [Z,D] = hkmeans(x,W,k);
    end
else
    [z,d]=k_means(X,W,k);
    Z = [Z;z]; D = [D;d];
end
```



FADP properties

- FADP:

- based on iteratively enhanced clustering of state space, occupied by the plant in closed-loop.
- scales well (S can be set)
- preserves Pa's and L
- enables use of finite state & action MDP tools
 - DP, cell filter, analysis
- model can be reinforced with data
- off-line design procedure

 Irrespective of the method used for discretizion:

Computers and Chemical Engineerin

Process control using finite Markov chains with iterative clustering

Enso Ikonen*, István Selek, Kaddour Najim

- difficult to know *a priori* the state space covered by the plant in closed-loop
- FADP provides an automated means to find a discretization suitable for control
- Increased computational load
- re-building of transition matrices
- simulation of closed-loop trajectories



FADP non-linear non-minimum phase CSTR

 van der Vusse benchmark (Chen et al. 1995)

By use of control we want to guarantee the production of cyclopentenol with desired purity $c_{B|s}$ despite variations in the feed temperature ϑ_0 . We distinguish two control problems: A multi-input problem and a (more demanding) single-input problem.

Enso Ikonen University of Oulu Enso. Ikonen@oulu.fi



NONLINEAR PREDICTIVE CONTROL OF A BENCHMARK CSTR

H. Chen, A. Kremling and F. Allgöwer* Institut für Systemdynamik und Regelungstechnik, Universität Stuttgart Pfaffenwaldring 9, 70550 Stuttgart, Germany

The dynamics of the reactor can be described by the following nonlinear differential equations that are derived from component balances for substances A and B and from energy balances for the reactor and cooling jacket:

$$\dot{c}_A = \frac{\dot{V}}{V_R}(c_{A0} - c_A) - k_1(\vartheta)c_A - k_3(\vartheta)c_A^2 \quad (2a)$$

$$\dot{c}_B = -\frac{\dot{V}}{V_R}c_B + k_1(\vartheta)c_A - k_2(\vartheta)c_B$$
(2b)

$$+\frac{\kappa_w A_R}{\rho C_p V_R} (\vartheta_K - \vartheta) \tag{2c}$$

$$\dot{\vartheta}_K = \frac{1}{m_K C_{PK}} \left(\dot{Q}_K + k_w A_R (\vartheta - \vartheta_K) \right), \quad (2d)$$
$$c_A \ge 0, \ c_B \ge 0.$$

The concentrations of substances A and B are c_A and c_B respectively. The temperature in the reactor is denoted by ϑ , while the temperature in the cooling jacket is given by ϑ_K . The reaction velocities k_i are assumed to depend on the temperature via the Arrhenius law

$$k_i(\vartheta) = k_{i0} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{E_i}{\vartheta/^o C + 273.15}\right), i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (2e)$$



Process control using finite Markov chains with iterative clustering
Enso Bloonen⁻, István Selek, Kaddour Najim
Ensor Riomero (BM 2014 COM 2014)
Ensor Riomero (BM

Discretization (initial)

4.2.1. Algorithm set-up

The manipulated variable \dot{V}/V_R was discretized into A = 31 values in the range [3, 35]1/h: {3, 4, 4.5, ..., 9.5, 10, 11, 12, ..., 21, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, 35}, based on the static gain characteristics of the system. The measurement space was discretized into $M = 38 \times 23 = 874$ values using {0.20, 0.30, 0.40, 0.50, 0.54, 0.58, 0.60, ..., 1.06, 1.08, 1.09, 1.10, 1.12, ..., 1.18, 1.20, 1.24, ..., 1.36, 1.40} for the product concentration c_B and {80, 90, 92, ..., 128, 130, 140} for the reactor temperature v. Following the benchmark (Chen et al., 1995), the cost function was defined as the squared error between the product concentration and its set point; the discount factor λ was set to 0.995 (*cf.* MPC prediction horizon of 200 samples). Two controllers with set points at 1.09 and 0.95 mol/l were designed, *i.e.*, B = 2.



1.4

Fig. 3. Clustering of reactor and coolant temperatures.





Process control using finite Markov chains with iterative clusterin

FADP (single-input) non-linear non-minimum phase CSTR

Discretization (iterative FADP steps)

Table 1

Simulation set-up and root-mean-square errors (RMSE) on controlled variable. *N* is the number of cluster centers (resolution of discretization), *E* is the number of one-step ahead simulations for each state cell.

	Ν	Ε	Iteration	RMSE	RMSE ($E = 200$)
	1000	5	0	0.051	-
	2358	10	1	0.045	-
	4060	20	3	0.028	0.026
	6514	25	4	0.029	0.027
	9976	50	9	0.025	0.027
eference	16,716	75	14	0.021	0.022
case	15,232	200	Ref.	-	0.031
(grid)	number of	number of	iteration	RMSE at	RMSE when
	clusters	model evaluations per cell	count	the end if FADP	completed to E=200







Fig. 3. Clustering of reactor and coolant temperatures.

CA 20 40 60 80 100 120 0 \geq > 20 40 60 80 100 120 0 >° 20 40 80 100 120 0 60

Fig. 6. State and disturbance estimation distributions for set point tracking.

measurement + prediction Process control using finite Markov chains with iterative clu

ers and Chemical Engi

.....

- state distribution
- -> inferred ML estimate s
- -> controller output $a=\pi(s)$





Fig. 5. State and disturbance estimation for set point tracking. Solid line: true state, dashed line: inferred ML estimate.

state estimate distributions

For the evaluation of the controller performance, the following tests are suggested in Chen et al. (1995):

- i) step changes in the set point from maximal to minimal values and back. The simulation starts with a large initial error in the state estimator (x̂ = [2.50 mol/l, 1.09 mol/l, 114.2 °C, 114 °C, 110 °C]), the set point is decreased at 400 s, and returns back at 1200 s.
- ii) step changes in the feed temperature to maximal and minimal values. Starting from nominal optimal, the feed temperature changes to 115 °C at 0 s, and to 100 °C at 1500 s.
- iii) robustness can be tested by considering two extreme cases (in the sense of worst-case deviation from nominal values) for parameter uncertainty (see (Chen et al., 1995) for numerical details). Starting with the first worst-case setting ($v_0 = 104.9 \circ C$), set point is decreased at 400 s and v_0 is decreased to 100 °C at 1100. An abrupt mismatch to the other worst-case parameter setting occurs at 1800 s, followed by a set point change at 2300 s and a step in v_0 to 115 °C at 3000 s.



noise in product concentration Process control using finite Markov chains with iterative of the latence interaction and reactor temperature + random walk in feed temperature (not in the benchmark)

ers and Chemical Engi

1



Fig. 11. Set point tracking in the presence of stochastic state and measurement noise. Solid line: true state, dashed line: inferred ML estimate, dots: measurements.

FADP non-linear non-minimum phase CSTR

 van der Vusse benchmark (Chen et al. 1995)

By use of control we want to guarantee the production of cyclopentenol with desired purity $c_{B|s}$ despite variations in the feed temperature ϑ_0 . We distinguish two control problems: A <u>multi-input problem</u> and a (more demanding) single-input problem.



NONLINEAR PREDICTIVE CONTROL OF A BENCHMARK CSTR

H. Chen, A. Kremling and F. Allgöwer* Institut für Systemdynamik und Regelungstechnik, Universität Stuttgart Pfaffenwaldring 9, 70550 Stuttgart, Germany

The dynamics of the reactor can be described by the following nonlinear differential equations that are derived from component balances for substances A and B and from energy balances for the reactor and cooling jacket:

$$\dot{c}_A = \frac{\dot{V}}{V_R}(c_{A0} - c_A) - k_1(\vartheta)c_A - k_3(\vartheta)c_A^2 \quad (2a)$$

$$\dot{c}_B = -\frac{\dot{V}}{V_R}c_B + k_1(\vartheta)c_A - k_2(\vartheta)c_B$$
(2b)

$$\dot{\vartheta} = \frac{\dot{V}}{V_R}(\vartheta_0 - \vartheta) - \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \Big(k_1(\vartheta) c_A \Delta H_{R_{AB}} + k_2(\vartheta) c_B \Delta H_{R_{BC}} + k_3(\vartheta) c_A^2 \Delta H_{R_{AD}} \Big)$$

$$k_w A_B = 0$$

$$+\frac{\kappa_w A_R}{\rho C_p V_R} (\vartheta_K - \vartheta) \tag{2c}$$

$$\dot{\vartheta}_{K} = \frac{1}{m_{K}C_{PK}} \left(\dot{Q}_{K} + k_{w}A_{R}(\vartheta - \vartheta_{K}) \right), \quad (2d)$$
$$c_{A} \ge 0, \ c_{B} \ge 0.$$

The concentrations of substances A and B are c_A and c_B respectively. The temperature in the reactor is denoted by ϑ , while the temperature in the cooling jacket is given by ϑ_K . The reaction velocities k_i are assumed to depend on the temperature via the Arrhenius law

$$k_i(\vartheta) = k_{i0} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{E_i}{\vartheta/^o C + 273.15}\right), i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (2e)$$



- benchmark (noiseless)
- clustering using FADP
- system input/output



Ensoskonen

University of Oulu Enso.Ikonen@oulu.fi

- benchmark (noiseless)

Ensodkone

University of O

state estimates: ML & densities



- benchmark (noiseless)
- robustness test



- state & signal noise
- MC analysis of closed-loop behavior

0.6

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

0.85

0

0.95

setpoint 1.09

1

1.05

CB

1.1



Enso konen University of Oulu Enso. Ikonen@oulu. fi 0.75

0.8

CB

0.8 setpoint 0.8

0.6

P_{stationary}

0.2

₀∟ 0.7

Future works

Conclusions & Future

Process control and state estimation

- optimal control based on finite state and action plant description
 - Bayesian state estimation (cell filter)
 - optimal control (DP)
- FADP
 - attempt to solve the curse-of-dimensionality in 'ADP style'
- van der Vusse CSTR (SISO & MISO)
 - nonlinear, stochastic, nonminimum-phase dynamics
 - 2 inputs, 5 states => tractable computations on a laptop PC

Future

- experience from industrial application studies
- state estimation for monitoring => how to provide added value from improved uncertainty information to plant operators and automatic control

Finite state estimation and control of a multiinput CSTR benchmark

Enso IKONEN, István SELEK, Kaddour NAJIM Systems Engineering Faculty of Technology

UNIVERSITY Enso.lkonen@oulu.fi OF OULU TOULOUSE IFAC 2017 World Congress, Toulou



IFAC 2017 World Congress, Toulouse, France The 20th World Congress of the International Federation of Automatic Control, 9-14 July 2017